UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

OBED BROWN,)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	No. 4:17CV866 HEA
EXPRESS SCRIPTS,)	
Defendant.)	

OPINION, MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the motion of plaintiff Obed Brown for the appointment of counsel. (Docket No. 4). The motion will be denied without prejudice.

The appointment of counsel for an indigent *pro se* plaintiff lies within the discretion of the Court, as there is no constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel in civil cases. *Ward v. Smith*, 732 F.3d 940, 942 (8th Cir. 2013); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) ("when an indigent prisoner has pleaded a nonfrivolous cause of action, a court <u>may</u> appoint counsel.") (emphasis added).

Once the plaintiff alleges a *prima facie* claim, the Court must determine the plaintiff's need for counsel to litigate her claim effectively. *In re Lane*, 801 F.2d 1040, 1043 (8th Cir. 1986). The standard for appointment of counsel in a civil case is whether both the plaintiff and the Court would benefit from the assistance of counsel. *Edgington v. Missouri Dept. of Corrections*, 52 F.3d 777, 780 (8th Cir. 1995), *abrogated on other grounds*, *Doe v. Cassel*, 403 F.3d 986, 989 (8th Cir. 2005) (citations omitted). This determination involves the consideration of several relevant criteria which include "the factual complexity of the issues, the ability of the indigent person to investigate the facts, the existence of conflicting testimony, the ability of the

indigent person to present the claims, and the complexity of the legal arguments." *Phillips v. Jasper County Jail*, 437 F.3d 791, 94 (*citing Edgington*, 52 F.3d at 780).

In some instances, a court may deny a motion for appointment of counsel without prejudice because it believes the record is insufficient to determine, one way or the other, whether it would be appropriate to appoint counsel when the above factors are considered. *See Id.* For example, discovery may not have begun or may have just begun at the time of the request for appointment of counsel, so there is no conflicting testimony. There may be no indication in the record that the plaintiff lacks the ability to investigate or present his case where she correctly identifies the applicable legal standard governing her claims and her complaint contains all essential information. Finally, the Court may consider whether the plaintiff's claims involve information that is readily available to her. *Phillips*, 437 F.3d at 794.

In this case, the record is insufficient to determine whether the appointment of counsel is appropriate at this time. Discovery has yet to begin. The claims plaintiff has presented do not appear factually or legally complex, and plaintiff does not argue otherwise. Finally, plaintiff has demonstrated herself to be well able to clearly present and investigate her claims. She has filed a complaint that is articulate and readily understood, indicating that she is capable of clear expression and logical organization of content. However, the Court recognizes that the relevant circumstances may change as discovery takes place. The Court will therefore deny the motion for the appointment of counsel, without prejudice. If appropriate at a later stage of this litigation, plaintiff may file a motion to appoint counsel that addresses the foregoing factors.

Dated this 18th day of April, 2017.

HENRY EDWARD AUTRÉY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE